

## CLASSIFICATION OFFICE DECISION

**Title of publication:** Jules Jordan's Slut Puppies 7

**Other known title(s):** Slut Puppies 7

**OFLC ref:** 1300880.000

**Medium:** DVD

**Distributor:** Calvista NZ Ltd

**Director:** Jules Jordan

**FVLB Applicant:** Calvista NZ Ltd

**Producer:** Jules Jordan

**Country of origin:** United States

**Language:** English

**Applicant:** Film & Video Labelling Body

<p><b>Classification:</b></p> <p>The unexcised version of this publication is classified as Objectionable.</p> <p>The excised version of this publication is classified as Objectionable except if the availability of the publication is restricted to persons who have attained the age of 18 years.</p>
--

**Excisions:** Recommended but not made

**Descriptive note:** None

**Display conditions:** None

**Date of entry in Register:** 24 October 2013

**Date of direction to issue a label:** No direction to issue a label has been issued

**Date of notice of decision:** No notice of decision has been issued

	Components	Running time
<b>Menu:</b>	Play Scenes Trailers Images	
<b>Feature(s):</b>	Jules Jordan's Slut Puppies 7	138:33
<b>Timed component(s):</b>	Ultimate Fuck Toy - Abella Anderson All Internal 20 Eye Fucked Them All Notices	1:03 1:03 1:02 0:48
<b>Untimed component(s):</b>	Images	
<b>Total running time:</b>		142:29

#### Summary of reasons for decision:

The DVD is devoted to the explicit depiction of sexual activity. Three excisions are required to the feature to remove degrading, dehumanising and demeaning sexual and physical conduct. The treatment of women in this manner is likely to influence the attitudes of some male viewers and have a resulting harmful impact on the safety of women in sexual situations, and in their relationships more generally.

In this instance the distributor declined to make the required excisions therefore the publication is classified as objectionable.

The Classification Office has considered the effects of the New Zealand Bill of Rights Act 1990 on the application of all the classification criteria. The right to freedom of expression has been weighed against the likelihood of injury to the public good if the publication is available. Because of the highly degrading, dehumanising and demeaning sexual material, the classification of objectionable is a reasonable curtailment of the right to freedom of expression in order to prevent likely injury to the public good.